# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS GALVESTON DIVISION

DEREK LEE,	§	
Plaintiff	§	
	§	CIVIL ACTION NO.
VS.	§	
	§	Jury Trial Demanded
CREDIT MANAGEMENT, LP	§	
DBA THE CMI GROUP,	§	
Defendant	§	

#### **ORIGINAL COMPLAINT**

TO THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE:

#### NATURE OF ACTION

- 1. This is an action for damages brought by an individual plaintiff for Defendant's violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. (hereinafter "FDCPA"), the Texas Debt Collection Practices Act, Chapter 392 (hereinafter "TDCPA"), the Texas Business and Commerce Code, Subchapter E, Chapter 17, (hereinafter "DTPA"), which prohibit debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair practices, and the Telephone Consumer Protection Act ("TCPA"), 47 U.S.C. § 227.
- 2. Plaintiff seeks to recover monetary damages for Defendant's violation of the FDCPA, the TDCPA, DTPA, and TCPA and to have an Order or injunction issued by this Court preventing Defendants from continuing its violative behaviors.

3. Service may be made upon Defendant in any other district in which it may be found pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §1132(e)(2).

## **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 4. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3) and 28 U.S.C. § 1337.
- 5. Venue is proper before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b), where the acts and transactions giving rise to Plaintiff's action occurred in this district, where Plaintiff resides in this district, and/or where Defendant transacts business in this district.

#### **PARTIES**

- 6. Plaintiff, Derek Lee ("Plaintiff"), is a natural person residing in Galveston County.
- 7. Plaintiff is a consumer as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3) and the Texas Business and Commerce Code section §17.50(a)(1) and Texas Finance Code §392.001(1).
- 8. Defendant, Credit Management, LP dba The CMI Group ("Defendant") is an entity who at all relevant times was engaged, by use of the mails and telephone, in the business of attempting to collect a "debt" from Plaintiff, as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(5) and by Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.001(6).

9. Defendant is a "debt collector" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6) and by Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.001(2).

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 10. Plaintiff is a natural person obligated, or allegedly obligated, to pay a debt owed or due, or asserted to be owed or due a creditor other than Defendant.
- 11. Plaintiff's obligation, or alleged obligation, owed or due, or asserted to be owed or due a creditor other than Defendant, arises from a transaction in which the money, property, insurance, or services that are the subject of the transaction were incurred primarily for personal, family, or household purposes and Plaintiff incurred the obligation, or alleged obligation, owed or due, or asserted to be owed or due a creditor other than Defendant.
- 12. Defendant uses instrumentalities of interstate commerce or the mails in a business the principal purpose of which is the collection of any debts, and/or regularly collects or attempts to collect, directly or indirectly, debts owed or due, or asserted to be owed or due another.
- 13. Within one (1) year preceding the date of this Complaint, Defendant made and/or placed a telephone call to Plaintiff's cellular telephone number, in effort to collect from Plaintiff an obligation, or alleged obligation, owed or due, or asserted to be owed or due a creditor other than Defendant.

- 14. Within one (1) year preceding the date of this Complaint, Defendant willfully and knowingly utilized an automatic telephone dialing system to make and/or place a telephone call to Plaintiff's cellular telephone number, in effort to collect from Plaintiff an obligation, or alleged obligation, owed or due, or asserted to be owed or due a creditor other than Defendant.
- 15. During the months beginning July 2010 through September 2010, Defendants did repeatedly contact Plaintiff with the intent to harass regarding an alleged debt that Plaintiff did not owe.
- 16. When Plaintiff questioned Defendant's collectors on one such occasion on or about August 5, 2010, Plaintiff inquired, "So you're going to continue harassing me by phone like this when I just told you that I don't owe that debt and to stop calling?" Defendant's collector responded, "That's right. That's exactly what we're going to do." (§ 1692d(5))(§ 1692d(2)).
- 17. During the months beginning July 2010 through September 2010, Defendant sought to collect an amount almost ten (10) times the actual amount owed, which was \$32.00.
- 18. When Plaintiff confronted Defendant's collection agents regarding that fact, the Defendant stated varying amounts of the alleged balance owed between \$400.00 and \$300.00, and when Plaintiff indicated he had written proof from the creditor that the amount owed was actually \$32.00, Defendant's collectors

stated, "Well, we still have to collect the full amount due and you're obligated to pay it." (§ 1692e(2)(A))(§ 1692e(10)).

- 19. Defendant failed to provide Plaintiff with any written notice whatsoever regarding the alleged debt as it pertain to Plaintiff's statutory right to dispute the alleged debt, or any portion thereof, and to request validation of same, within the required statutory period (§ 1692g(a)).
- 20. During the months beginning July 2010 through September 2010, Defendant placed numerous non-emergency telephone calls using an automatic telephone dialing system to Plaintiff's cell phone, without Plaintiffs prior express consent, for which Plaintiff was charged for the call (47 U.S.C.§ 227(b)(1)(A)(iii).
- 21. Defendant's actions constitute conduct highly offensive to a reasonable person, and as a result of Defendant's above violations of the FDCPA, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for Plaintiff's actual damages, statutory damages, and costs and attorney's fees.

#### **COUNT I--FDCPA**

- 22. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation contained above.
  - 23. Defendant's aforementioned conduct violated the FDCPA.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment, as follows:

a) Adjudging that Defendant violated the FDCPA;

- b) Awarding Plaintiff statutory damages, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k, in the amount of \$1,000.00;
- c) Awarding Plaintiff actual damages, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k;
- d) Awarding Plaintiff reasonable attorneys' fees ands costs incurred in this action;
- e) Awarding Plaintiff any pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as may be allowed under the law;
- f) Awarding such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

## **COUNT II--TDCPA**

- 24. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation above.
- 25. Defendant violated the Texas Debt Collection Practices Act in one or more of the following ways:
  - a. Using false representations or deceptive means to collect a debt or
    obtain information concerning a consumer, including (Tex Fin Code §
    392.304(a)(19)).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment, as follows:

- a) Adjudging that Defendant violated the TDCPA;
- b) Awarding Plaintiff statutory damages pursuant to the TDCPA;
- c) Awarding Plaintiff actual damages pursuant to the TDCPA;

- d) Awarding Plaintiff reasonable attorneys' fees ands costs incurred in this action;
- e) Awarding Plaintiff any pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as may be allowed under the law;
- f) Awarding such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

## COUNT III—TCPA

- 26. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation above.
- 27. Defendant violated 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii) by willfully and knowingly utilizing an automatic telephone dialing system to make and/or place a telephone call to Plaintiff's cellular telephone number.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment, as follows:

- a) Adjudging that Defendant violated 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii);
- b) Awarding Plaintiff statutory damages, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B), in the amount of \$500.00 per violation;
- c) Awarding Plaintiff statutory damages, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C), in the amount of \$1,500.00 per violation;
- d) Awarding Plaintiff actual damages, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B);

- e) Awarding Plaintiff reasonable attorneys' fees ands costs incurred in this action;
- f) Awarding Plaintiff any pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as may be allowed under the law.

#### COUNT IV—DTPA

- 28. Plaintiff reincorporates by reference herein all prior paragraphs above.
- 29. This suit is brought, in part, under the authority of Tex. Bus. & Com. Code § 17.41 et seq., commonly known as the Deceptive Trade Practices and Plaintiff Protection Act and cited in this petition as the "DTPA".
- 30. Defendant was given notice in writing of the claims made in this Petition more than sixty days before this suit was filed in the manner and form required by DTPA §17.505 (a).
- 31. Defendant made numerous material misrepresentations in an attempt to collect the purported consumer debt as detailed above.
- 32. Defendant knew or should have known that its representations were false and/or acted in reckless disregard for the truth or falsity of its representations.
- 33. Defendant's actions were done maliciously and in willful, wanton and reckless disregard for the rights of the Plaintiff.
- 34. Defendant's actions as detailed above constitute a violation of the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act, Tex. Bus. & Com. Code § 17.41.

- 35. The conduct described above has been and is a producing and proximate cause of damages to Plaintiff. Plaintiff's damages include: Statutory damages of at least \$100.00 per violation, actual/economic damages, emotional and/or mental anguish damages, exemplary/punitive damages, and Plaintiff's attorneys' fees and costs.
- 36. Additionally, Plaintiff seeks an award of discretionary additional damages in an amount not to exceed three times the amount of economic damages if Defendant's conduct is found to have been committed knowingly; or an amount not to exceed three times the amount of economic and mental anguish damages if Defendants' conduct is found to have been committed intentionally.
- 37. Plaintiff seeks reasonable and necessary attorney's fees in this case through the time judgment is entered and for any post-trial or post-judgment appeals, to be detailed through a statement of services submitted at the appropriate time.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment as follows:

- (a) a declaratory judgment be entered that Defendant's conduct violated the DTPA;
- (b) an award for all actual damages, exemplary damages, emotional/mental anguish damages and all statutory additional damages, all

attorneys fees, costs of court, and pre-judgment and post-judgment interest at the highest lawful rates.

- (c) an award of discretionary additional damages in an amount not to exceed three times the amount of economic damages if Defendant's conduct is found to have been committed knowingly; or an amount not to exceed three times the amount of economic and mental anguish damages if Defendant's conduct is found to have been committed intentionally.
- (d) such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

## TRIAL BY JURY

38. Plaintiff is entitled to and hereby demands a trial by jury.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Dennis R. Kurz
Dennis R. Kurz
Texas State Bar # 24068183
Southern District Bar No. 1045205
Attorney in Charge for Plaintiff

WEISBERG & MEYERS, L.L.C. 5927 Almeda Road #20818
Houston TX 77004
(888) 595-9111 ext. 412
(866) 842-3303 (fax)

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that on November 18, 2010, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the clerk of the U.S. District Court, Southern District of Texas, Galveston Division, using the electronic case filing system of the court.

/s/ Dennis R. Kurz Dennis R. Kurz